

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,479	09/22/2003	Lawrence Carl Smith	2002B132/2	2938	
23455	7590 01/26/2006	,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY			RABAGO,	RABAGO, ROBERTO	
5200 BAYW P.O. BOX 21			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			1713		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	γ	
(N	Ψ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/668,479	SMITH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roberto Rábago	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order and the correction of the correctio	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/668,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group I, claims 1-18, in the reply filed on 11/4/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) The preamble of independent claims 1, 2 and 18 (and claims 3-17 by dependency) recites a "method for forming polypropylene." However, no method steps recite the formation of a polymer, and therefore it cannot be determined whether the method requires actual polymerization or whether the method requires only the recited steps of moving reagents through a conduit.
- (b) Independent claims 1, 2 and 18 (and claims 3-17 by dependency) recite a partial disassembly and reconstruction of the reagent delivery system. However, the

Application/Control Number: 10/668,479 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

claims do not indicate when (or even if) polymerization occurs; furthermore, there is nothing in the claims which requires that any of the recited steps take place in any particular order; therefore, it cannot be determined whether the replacement step is required to occur simultaneously with ongoing polymerization, or whether this step may occur at any time before, during or after polymerization.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlson et al. (US 2,508,744).

The reference discloses propylene polymerization using an apparatus comprising a conduit with two propylene inlets and a catalyst inlet, further comprising numerous operable valves. The reference does not disclose disassembly/reassembly of the conduit, but one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to perform such tasks during routine maintenance and cleaning of the conduit and supporting polymerization apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,479 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR January 23, 2006